

1 APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR MITIGATING
2 COLORANT-DEPOSITION ERRORS IN INCREMENTAL PRINTING

3
4
5 RELATED PATENT DOCUMENTS

6
7 Closely related documents are other coowned and co-
8 pending U. S. utility-patent applications filed in the
9 United States Patent and Trademark Office — and also
10 hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety into
11 this document. One is serial 09/516,007 in the names of
12 Garcia-Reyero et al., entitled "IMPROVEMENTS IN AUTOMATED
13 AND SEMIAUTOMATED PRINTMASK GENERATION FOR INCREMENTAL
14 PRINTING" and issued as U. S. 6,____,____. Another such
15 document is provisional application serial 60/179,383,
16 whose priority benefit was later claimed in nonprovisional
17 application serial 09/____,____ in the names of Doval et
18 al., entitled "COMPENSATION FOR MARKING-POSITION ERRORS
19 ALONG THE PEN-LENGTH DIRECTION, IN INKJET PRINTING" and
20 issued as U. S. 6,____,____. Still other such documents
21 are serials 09/____,____, 09/____,____ and 09/____,____
22 in the name of Askeland, respectively entitled "ADAPTIVE
23 INCREMENTAL PRINT MODE THAT MAXIMIZES THROUGHPUT WHILE
24 MAINTAINING INTERPEN ALIGNMENT BY NOZZLE SELECTION", and
25 "ADAPTIVE INCREMENTAL PRINTING THAT MAXIMIZES THROUGHPUT
26 BY DATA SHIFT TO PRINT WITH PHYSICALLY UNALIGNED NOZZLES",
27 and "BANDING REDUCTION IN INCREMENTAL PRINTING, THROUGH
28 VARIATION OF NOZZLE COMBINATIONS AND PRINTING-MEDIUM AD-
29 VANCE" — and issued as U. S. 6,____,____, 6,____,____ and
30 6,____,____ (and companion documents thereof). Yet another
31 is serial 09/252,141 in the name of Borrell, entitled
32 "ANTIPATTERNING PRINTMODE FOR MATRIX-BASED SCATTERED-DITH-
33 ERED IMAGES, IN INCREMENTAL PRINTING" and issued as U. S.
34 6,____,____. A further such document is attorney docket

60990047Z28, filed in the United States Patent & Trademark Office during August 2000, later assigned application serial 09/____,____, in the names of Cluet et al., entitled "PRINTING AND MEASURING DIRECTLY DISPLAYED IMAGE QUALITY, WITH AUTOMATIC COMPENSATION, IN INCREMENTAL PRINTING" and issued as U. S. 6,____,____.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates generally to machines and procedures for incremental printing of text or graphics on printing media such as paper, transparency stock, or other glossy media; and more particularly to a machine (e. g. inkjet printer, copier or facsimile receiver) and method that construct text or images incrementally, or in another word progressively, from individual ink spots created on a printing medium, in a two-dimensional pixel grid.

Such "incremental" printing may be accomplished by passing a single, full-page-width array (or one such array for each of plural colorants) of marking elements continuously along the length of a printing medium — or passing the length of the medium under the array. Incremental printing may instead be accomplished by passing a smaller array (or again one for each of plural colorants) across the width of the medium multiple times, in a process often called "scanning" — the medium being advanced under the scanning path or axis, between passes — to create a swath or partial swath of marks in each pass.

In present-day commercial apparatus the grid is commonly a rectangular pattern of columns and rows, but for purposes of this document need not be. For example a hexagonal pixel-grid pattern appears straightforwardly worka-

particularly concentrated at the array ends, or from an undefined complex of dot-placement attributes.

Such placement attributes most likely implicate interactions between colorant and a printing medium on which the colorant is deposited. This is the fourth category of error effects — "ink-media interactions".

(The terminology AFNU, here "area fill nonuniformity", is used in some industrial facilities to connote a more-specific type of defect — a blotchy or mottled appearance. The present inventors wish to point this out simply to avoid confusion due to these slightly different usages. AFNU as used in this document may be regarded as meaning in essence "swath fill nonuniformity".)

The effects and causes discussed above are not related to each other in rigorously the cause-and-effect ways suggested. Thus for example a cause of the third type of error, nonuniform density, can be ink-media interactions; and such interactions, for some purposes, accordingly might be better listed as a cause, rather than an effect. As will be seen shortly, precise categorization of these relationships is not significant to either understanding or validity of the present invention.

While AFNU and SWE may present themselves to a viewer as distinct matters of spatial distribution and spatial deformation respectively, in actuality what appears to be a deformation of swath height (or any other shape) can be caused by perturbed colorant distribution. In other words deformation is nested within distribution error.

2. SHORTENED LIFE OF PRINTING ARRAYS

Currently multielement printing arrays (including for example "printheads" or multinozzle "pens" in inkjet

1 ing arrays, and others in other portions of the printing
2 apparatus.

3 Such inaccuracies can occur along the scan axis (in
4 scanning systems) or the printing-medium advance axis, or
5 both. Some are systematic, while some others follow
6 random patterns.

7 As to aiming errors, this document focuses upon the
8 systematic component of those errors which lies along the
9 advance axis. A typical source of these particular aim-
10 ing-error components is advance-axis directionality of
11 individual elements in the printing array.

12 In inkjet printing, such misdirected elements in turn
13 can be due to relative misalignments between an array of
14 firing resistors (or "heaters") and an array of nozzle
15 orifices (or "nozzle plate"). Such defects, though tiny,
16 cause drop-ejection directionality in both the scan (when
17 applicable) and advance axes, the latter being a particu-
18 lar concern of the present invention.

19 When manifested as SWE, these defects generate a dif-
20 ference \underline{h} (Fig. 10) between nominal printhead height \underline{H} and
21 the actual printed swath height $\underline{H} + \underline{h}$. As the left-hand
22 and right-hand views demonstrate, the error \underline{h} — identifi-
23 able as the quantitative SWE — can be either positive
24 ($\underline{h} > 0$) or negative ($\underline{h} < 0$, $\underline{H} + \underline{h} < \underline{H}$). The center view
25 shows the nominal condition in which the error \underline{h} is zero,
26 i. e. there is no error.

27 Generally, techniques of accommodating SWE by adjust-
28 ing the advance stroke start with assumption of some model
29 that explains observed banding in terms of the SWE and the
30 stroke; such a model in effect establishes a relation be-
31 tween the error and the stroke.

32 The problem can be made more specific with an exam-
33 ple. In attempting to print a uniform area fill (Fig. 11,
34 left-hand view) with one printing array (printhead) in a

1 single-pass mode, the system advances the medium — be-
2 tween successive passes — by a stroke equivalent to the
3 nominal array height H .

4 If the printhead has a negative SWE (center view),
5 however, then adjacent swaths fail to abut; this failure
6 leaves white streaks between consecutive swaths. Such
7 artifacts will be called "white-streak banding".

8 On the other hand, if the head has a positive SWE
9 (right-hand view) then adjacent swaths overlap; the prin-
10 ted image in the overlap regions appear darker. Artifacts
11 of this second kind will be called "dark banding". As the
12 illustrations make plain, both cases represent a large ad-
13 verse impact on print quality.

14 Another typical source of image banding is inaccuracy
15 in the print-medium advance mechanism. Again assuming an
16 ideal uniform fill (Fig. 12 left-hand view), if the medium
17 underadvances, the image contains dark banding (center
18 view) — similar to the appearance discussed above for
19 positive SWE.

20 If the medium overadvances, then what appears instead
21 is white-streak banding (right-hand view) like that noted
22 above for negative SWE. Either kind of advance error ac-
23 cumulates, so that the overall length of the printed image
24 varies in proportion to the amount of under- or overad-
25 vance (h per pass, times a number of passes); whereas with
26 SWE the overall image length varies only by an amount
27 equivalent to one times h , independent of the number of
28 passes.

29 Now with such a model providing a theoretical rela-
30 tion between SWE and stroke, prior efforts to accommodate
31 SWE include adapting the stroke to the actual effective
32 swath height, or in other words to take into account the
33 SWE. Again comparing with an ideal case of zero SWE, zero
34 stroke adjustment (Fig. 13, left-hand view), a negative

nozzle. As a practical matter weighting appears to be more useful in cases of misdirected elements than weak or overstrong elements.

Density errors due to elements that form too-dark or too-light marks are not corrected adequately by any prior technique — particularly not any that is usable with a small number of passes, e. g. one- or two-pass printmodes. The same is true of ink-media interactions; and the foregoing discussions also cover AFNU, whether associated with SWE or density phenomena.

As is well known, an incremental printing system establishes average density levels through processes called "rendition", which most typically take the form of either dithering or error diffusion. Dithering employs a relatively large dither mask or rendition matrix — a much larger numerical data tabulation than the effective tabulation discussed above as to SWE management.

The dither mask is substantially greater, ordinarily, than a ten-row-by-ten-column table; however, it is set at the factory and ordinarily undergoes no modification in the field. This too may be borne in mind for comparison with later discussion of the invention.

5. COST

Furthermore, these several limitations of corrective techniques known heretofore are present even though multi-element printing arrays are subject to relatively stringent manufacturing tolerances and therefore relatively expensive. Manufacture and use of printing arrays (inkjet pens etc.) could be considerably more economical if the

least one array. For purposes of generality and breadth in discussion of the invention, these means will be called simply the "measuring means".

As will be evident to people of ordinary skill in this field, at least the first three above-introduced types of CDE can be measured directly by automatic equipment incorporated into the printing apparatus. CDE of the fourth type may be most often measured through its observable effects upon AFNU, but can then be isolated through correlation with known swath-boundary positions.

The apparatus also includes some means for modifying a multicolumn, multirow numerical tabulation that forms a mapping between such input image data and such marks, to compensate for the measured colorant-deposition error. For purposes of these modifying means only, and particularly the appended claims related to these means, the prefix "multi-" is hereby defined to mean "more-than-ten-".

In other words, "modifying a multicolumn, multirow numerical tabulation" means modifying a tabulation that has, in each dimension or direction of the array, more than ten lines of data. This criterion diverges plainly from the data assemblage of seven-by-two or less that is automatically modified in the field to accomplish SWE adjustment; it also diverges from the larger data assemblages used for dithering, in that the apparatus does not modify these.

These means, again for breadth and generality, will be called the "modifying means". (It will be understood that if no error is found, in an individual case, then no actual modification is required to satisfy this definition.)

In addition the apparatus includes some means for printing using the modified mapping. These, once again for the same reasons, will be called the "printing means".

1 include a halftoning matrix; and that the spatial-resolu-
 2 tion relationship include a scaling of the image data to
 3 the pixel grid. It may now be seen that "modifying a mul-
 4 ticolumn, multirow numerical tabulation" encompasses mod-
 5 ification of either a relatively large dither mask (not
 6 heretofore modified by the apparatus in the field) or the
 7 even much larger image-data tabulation itself (not hereto-
 8 fore modified to correct swath-height error).

9
 10 Another basic preference is that the "at least one"
 11 multielement incremental-printing array in fact include a
 12 plurality of multielement printing arrays that print in a
 13 corresponding plurality of different colors or color dilu-
 14 tions. Each multielement printing array is subject to a
 15 respective colorant-deposition error.

16 The measuring means and the modifying means each op-
 17 erate with respect to each one of the plurality of multi-
 18 element printing arrays respectively. (In this case, once
 19 again no actual correction need be made to satisfy this
 20 definition, when operation of the measuring means finds no
 21 error.)

22 A further preference applies to such a multielement
 23 embodiment when the colorant-deposition error includes a
 24 respective pattern of printing-density defects for at
 25 least one of the plurality of multielement printing ar-
 26 rays. Here the measuring means measure the pattern of
 27 printing-density defects for each multielement printing
 28 array respectively. Correspondingly the modifying means
 29 apply the respective pattern of density defects, for at
 30 least one of the multielement printing arrays, to modify a
 31 respective one of said mappings.

32 An analogous preference applies to a multielement em-
 33 bodiment, when the colorant-deposition error includes a
 34 respective swath-height error, for at least one array. In

3 As to the character of the applying step, there are
4 three selectable options for use in that step. It may in-
5 clude replacing values above or below a threshold value,
6 or multiplying values by a linear factor, or applying a
7 gamma correction function to values — or combinations of
8 any two or more of these options.

9 The best single option is the gamma function. While
10 the others are useable, a gamma function is best because
11 it can be made linear in perceptual terms with the visual
12 response of the eye.

13 Therefore with a gamma function the invention can
14 avoid overcorrecting — e. g., converting an objectionable
15 dark line to an objectionable light line — or undercor-
16 recting. Thereby the operation of the invention can be
17 better matched to a variety of image densities.

18 Yet another preference is that the printing stage
19 include single-pass printing. In most but not all such
20 cases the earlier-discussed intermediate mapping stage
21 vanishes, as typically the halftoning matrix is maintained
22 in step with a multielement printing array throughout an
23 entire image.

24 In an event it is particularly preferable to select
25 some operating strategy that maintains a one-to-one map-
26 ping between the halftone thresholding process and each of
27 the printing arrays. This enables a preferable simplified
28 form of the invention — namely, that for each of the plu-
29 rality of multielement arrays, the measuring, deriving and
30 applying steps are each performed at most only one time
31 for a full image.

1 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

2
3 Fig. 1 is a simplified composite diagram, highly
4 schematic or conceptual, for four companion printheads and
5 showing relationships between nozzle geometries, nozzle
6 drop-ejection profiles, inking-density profiles, and area-
7 fill nonuniformities;

8 Fig. 2 is a like diagram but showing relationships
9 between inverse inking-density profiles (derived from the
10 Fig. 1 density profiles), standard dither matrices and
11 modified dither matrices;

12 Fig. 3 is a like diagram but showing usage of the
13 Fig. 2 modified matrices in printing compensated, uniform
14 area fills using the Fig. 1 nozzles;

15 Fig. 4 is a diagram like Fig. 1 but showing relation-
16 ships between data, nozzle geometries and printed swaths
17 with (in some cases) height errors;

18 Fig. 5 is a like diagram but showing relationships
19 between the Fig. 4 nozzle geometries, data corrected for
20 the Fig. 4 errors, and (in most cases) compensated, prin-
21 ted swaths with proper heights;

22 Fig. 6 is a like diagram but showing data corrected
23 according to a more elaborate protocol and (in all cases)
24 compensated, printed swaths with proper heights;

25 Fig. 7 is a perspective view of the exterior of a
26 printer embodying preferred embodiments of the invention;

27 Fig. 8 is a like view of a scanning carriage and me-
28 dium-advance mechanism in the Fig. 7 printer;

29 Fig. 9 is a highly schematic diagram of the working
30 system of the Fig. 7 and 8 printer, particularly as used
31 to practice preferred embodiments of the above-introduced
32 aspects of the invention;

3 Here the characteristic of the SWE function appears
4 as inward-contracting dashed lines (also labeled with the
5 same values $46h_1$, $46h_2$). Hence when carried forward 47 to
6 form an inverse function $46'$ (Fig. 2), the characteristic
7 dictates that the inverse be expanding outward.

8 This outward-expanding inverse function 46' in theory
9 can be applied to the standard matrix 48, M(ij) as before.
10 The resulting theoretical geometry, however, is without
11 literal physical meaning since the new dither mask 146 by
12 definition cannot extend beyond the physical length of the
13 nozzle array 26.

14 What can be done is to implement the desired addition-
15 nal inking within that physical length, as for instance by
16 calling for extra heavy inking in a shallow strip 146H₃
17 just inside the lower edge of the new matrix 156, M_K(ij).
18 Because the unadjusted shortfall 46h₂ (Fig. 1) at the bot-
19 tom edge of the exemplary swath 56 is only very slight,
20 ink-media effects operating on this surplus ink at 146H₃
21 can yield a close approximation to a neatly extended lower
22 swath boundary as suggested at the bottom of the adjusted
23 black swath K' (Fig. 3).

Such ink-media effects may include an outboard (i. e. here downward) expansion of the heavy inking into uninked portions of the printing medium. They may also include persistence of this inking as liquid for a long enough time to coalesce with analogously deposited extra ink at the top of the next swath, and thereby form a nicely blended swath interface.

The example, however, as noted earlier also includes a significantly more extreme shortfall (negative SWE) 46h₁ at the top edge of the swath. It may be impossible to deposit enough extra ink along the upper edge of the swath

1 Linear correction:

2
$$\underline{M}'(\underline{ij}) = \underline{a}(\underline{j}) \cdot \underline{M}(\underline{ij})$$

3

4 Gamma correction (assuming a matrix normalized to one):

5
$$\underline{M}'(\underline{ij}) = \underline{M}(\underline{ij}) + \underline{a}(\underline{j}) \cdot \underline{M}(\underline{ij})^{b(\underline{j})}$$

6

7 Of these three techniques, the most successful basic
8 formula has been the gamma function. The coefficient $\underline{a}(\underline{j})$
9 is an intensity control on the correction, a value between
10 -1 and +1 indicating the fraction of correction desired.

11 As an example, 0.5 causes a maximum change (disre-
12 garding for now the effects of the exponent \underline{b}) of half the
13 original value. When the resulting values of \underline{M}' are in-
14 serted into the dither matrix — shifting the thresholds
15 that determine whether dots are printed — a positive
16 value of $\underline{a}(\underline{j})$ raises thresholds and thereby produces a
17 halftone in which fewer dots are printed, and a negative
18 value lowers the thresholds and to produces one in which
19 more dots are printed.

20 The exponent $\underline{b}(\underline{j})$ is a linearization control, causing
21 the correction to be stronger in light or dark areas.
22 Typical values to linearize inks perceptually are around
23 1.7 to 2.5.

24 The gamma function as presented above assumes values
25 scaled from zero to unity, while most halftone data con-
26 sist of values scaled from zero to 255. To adapt the gam-
27 ma function, the old value is first normalized to the
28 range zero through unity, and then the result multiplied
29 by 255 to rescale it to the data range:

30
$$\underline{M}'(\underline{ij}) = 255 \left[\underline{M}(\underline{ij}) + \underline{a}(\underline{j}) \cdot \left(\frac{\underline{M}(\underline{ij})}{255} \right)^{b(\underline{j})} \right]$$

32

33 Depending on the values of $\underline{a}(\underline{j})$, $\underline{b}(\underline{j})$ and $\underline{M}(\underline{ij})$, the new
34 value may exceed 255. In most such cases for practical

1 reasons (such as memory efficiency) advantageously the
2 value is simply clipped to 255.

3 Since source image data generally is eight-bit (val-
4 ues of zero through 255), in many systems a thresholding
5 value greater than 255 will not behave differently than a
6 value of 255. Thus in such systems there is no practical
7 difference between clipping to 255 and leaving the value
8 unedited. (The contrary is the case, however, in systems
9 that treat values above 255 merely by suppressing a fur-
10 ther binary place — i. e. a most-significant ninth bit.)

```

11      To create an adjusted halftone, a(j) and b(j) values
12      are specified for each row of the halftone matrix. Us-
13      ally the same b value can be used for all rows, and the a
14      value corresponds to an amount by which each row should be
15      changed.

```

16 For automatic operation in the field, the a values
17 may be set in response to measured deviation of ink level
18 at the position of each printing element or group, e. g.

$$a(j) = \frac{\text{measured tonal value}}{\text{commanded tonal value}}.$$

21 Each cell of the halftone is recalculated using the corre-
22 sponding a and b values for that row of the halftone.

23 The other above-mentioned methods are less desirable.
24 A linear correction, in particular, tends to overcorrect
25 in light image areas; while a thresholding model corrects
26 only very dark image areas, and rather imprecisely — but
27 can be useful for swath-bleed situations. With the gui-
28 dance of these stated relationships, combinations of the
29 formulas introduced above — or other correction formulas
30 — can be used instead.

31 In any event the resulting halftone matrix $\underline{M'}$ is ad-
32 vantageously used to halftone image data, introducing the
33 pattern of density corrections into the printing pipeline.

1 The equalizing effects flow through to the end and occur
2 in the resulting printed image.

3 The halftoning should begin with the top row of the
4 image being halftoned, and with the matrix row correspond-
5 ing to the nozzle that will be used to start printing.
6 Usually these are rows "1" and "1", respectively, in a
7 single-pass printmode.

9 (k) Multipass printmodes — For multipass printmodes,
10 the halftone matrix is further constrained to be an inte-
11 gral multiple of the width of the printmask. (This condi-
12 tion is counter to some antipatterning principles taught
13 in the previously mentioned Borrell document; in event ob-
14 jectionable pattern effects arise, an accommodation with
15 those principles should be considered.)

16 In this case an additional matrix $N(i,j)$ should be
17 constructed, containing values representing the nozzle
18 that will be used to print each cell of the halftone. De-
19 pending on the complexity of the printmode, this additio-
20 nal mapping matrix can be created either manually or by
21 straightforward calculations; it is used as follows.

23 Threshold method:

```

24     if  $\underline{M}(\underline{ij})$  is greater (less) than threshold  $\underline{t}(\underline{N}(\underline{ij}))$ ,
25         then  $\underline{M}'(\underline{ij}) = 0$  (or other specific value);
26     otherwise  $\underline{M}'(\underline{ij}) = \underline{M}(\underline{ij})$ 

```

28 Linear correction:

29 $M'(ij) = a(N(ij)) \cdot M(ij)$

```
31      Gamma correction (assuming a matrix normalized to one):
```

$$32 \quad \mathbf{M}'(\underline{ij}) = \mathbf{M}(\underline{ij}) + \underline{a}(\mathbf{N}(\underline{ij})) \cdot \mathbf{M}(\underline{ij})^{\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{N}(\underline{ij}))}$$

1 As before, halftoning should begin with the top row
2 of the image being halftoned, and with the matrix row cor-
3 responding to the nozzle that will be used to start print-
4 ing. Now, however, the latter matrix row is likely to be
5 some row other than "1". Printing techniques that use un-
6 usual advances in certain regions of a page, e. g. at top
7 and bottom, may not work optimally with these embodiments
8 of the invention — at least within those page regions.

9
10 As noted earlier, these embodiments are not limited
11 to the kind of rendition known as dithering, but rather
12 can be applied to other rendition types as well — partic-
13 ularly to error diffusion. For example, the $N(ij)$ matrix
14 is advantageously used to perturb the threshold decision
15 whether to print a dot in a particular pixel — or how
16 much error to pass along to other cells, or both.

17
18 These embodiments can compensate for some interswath
19 density variations even when due to aiming errors at ends
20 of the printhead, i. e. true swath-height error. Positive
21 swath-height error, which is to say overlong swath dimen-
22 sion along the advance axis leading to swath overlap, can
23 be actually eliminated by lowering the firing intensity of
24 end elements — i. e. turning them down or entirely off.

25 Even a slight negative swath-height error can be sub-
26 stantially corrected by raising the intensity of those end
27 elements to provide extra inking at the ends of the array.
28 Although the directionality error may remain, its effects
29 can be masked — either by some ink migration on the page
30 after deposition, or by an optical illusion which visually
31 blends a white streak with an immediately adjacent dark
32 line formed by extra inking.

33

1 all is too much to be resolved by the particular scaling
2 approach of Fig. 5.

3 Redefining, more generally, \underline{m} as the height of the
4 maximum usable nozzle complement (i. e. the distance
5 156M), the condition for inadequate available nozzles is:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{H^2}{H + h} &> m \\ h &< H \left(\frac{H}{m} - 1 \right) \\ |h| &> H \left(1 - \frac{H}{m} \right) \end{aligned}$$

13 Since \underline{m} is always at least as large as \underline{H} , the parentheti-
14 cal expression in the second line is always zero or nega-
15 tive — and the unavailable-nozzle condition arises for
16 SWE that is negative ($\underline{h} < 0$) and of magnitude large enough
17 to satisfy the condition in the third line.

18 Although the Fig. 5 technique is essentially forbid-
19 den in such cases, scaling in general continues to be an
20 attractive option — but requires additional steps. In
21 this case the swath 156 (in the example) of smallest ef-
22 fective height is first identified, and this swath height
23 becomes the controlling dimension for all of the pens.

24 The image data for the pen 126 with this smallest
25 swath height \underline{H}_{MIN} is scaled to the maximum available nozzle
26 complement \underline{m} for that pen 126. This process yields a
27 scaled data array 136" (Fig. 6), for that pen 126.

28 The pen now necessarily (i. e. by definition) has
29 sufficient available nozzles to print. As mentioned
30 above, however, the array 136" may be no taller than the
31 nominal swath height \underline{H} — i. e. may just fit the nominal
32 boundaries 137.

33 The negative SWE phenomenon 156H" normally persists,
34 though as before iterative measurement may be needed to

determine its effective value considering the second-order effect described earlier. Given the scaled data 136" and corresponding SWE 156H", a swath 156" can now be printed with new height 156N proportionally shallower than the data 136" and also shallower than the nominal swath height H defined by the nominal boundaries 137.

The height 156N of swath 156" defines a new set of swath boundaries 139 for the system. Other data planes 133, 134, 135 are now rescaled so that their respective SWE effects will all produce printed swaths C", M", Y" precisely fitted to this new system swath height 156N.

This process yields (possibly with iterations as discussed earlier) three more newly scaled data arrays 133", 134" and 135". Depending upon the several factors discussed above, they may be equal to, shallower than or taller than the new system swath height 156N (and the original nominal swath height H) — but all four printed swaths C", M", Y" and K" are of equal height.

The printing-medium advance stroke is adjusted to match this new common swath height 156N. Redefining, more generally, n as the new advance distance and common swath height (i. e. for the example in Fig. 6, the distance 156N), this new system swath height n is set by the parameters of the controlling pen:

$$n = m \frac{H_{\min}}{H} = m \frac{H + h}{H}$$

(but preferably making further allowances for necessary iteration).

(e) Review of scaling techniques — Since the scale of each source-image swath is in general changed, not only the individual swath heights but the final overall length, too, of the printout is changed too. Each swath height becomes either the original nominal one H or a new system

These signals 242A are further implemented, in printing of the test images, by the movements of the advance motor 242, drive 241 and medium 4A. The sequence of parameter values is also signaled 91 to color-deposition-error measuring means 72, for use in correlation as also described by Cluet. In the case of the present invention, such correlation yields an advance value that in turn is used in the scaling operations already detailed above.

A small automatic optoelectronic sensor 251 rides with the pens on the carriage and is directed downward to obtain data about image quality — more specifically, uniformity in area fills and swath height, for purposes of the adjustments set forth earlier in this document. The sensor 251 signals are coordinated (not shown) with movements of the carriage and advance mechanism, and thereby can readily perform optical measurements 65, 81, 82 (Fig. 9) of the printed test images. Suitable algorithmic control is well within the skill of the art, guided by the discussions here.

The deposition-error-measuring means 72 receive measurement data 65 returned from the sensor 251. In the case of the optimization embodiments, the CDE-measuring means 72 include means 81 for correlating these quality data 65 with the advance-varying data 91 from the above-mentioned varying means 64.

The correlation data 93, 94 in turn pass to image-optimizing means 89, particularly for control 196 of the printing-medium advance stroke. These data 93, 94 may be used for control 187 of other parameters such as print-mode; print-medium advance speed; scan velocity; inkdrop energies, sizes and velocities; depletion, propletion and discretionary-dotting ratios; balance point between ran-

domization vs. granularity; and also nozzle weighting distributions.

This correlation function, however — described with greater particularity by Cluet — is here somewhat tangential. For present purposes it simply serves as a way of establishing the previously mentioned ideal swath-height value m employed in the scaling embodiments of the present invention. In any event, the settings in turn pass 187, 196 to the final output stage 78 for control of the printing stage.

Other portions of Fig. 9 relate to the mapping modifications of the present invention, detailed above. In this case generally there may be no advance-varying means 64 or correlating means 89, but there are measurement control signals 80 and resulting measurement data 65.

In these embodiments, the measurement data 65 proceed to means 81 or 82 (or both) for respectively quantifying swath-height or density characteristics of the printheads 223-226. These two possibilities will now be followed separately.

In the relatively simpler case of printing-density defect data 82, as indicated in the earlier detailed discussion such data follow a path 88 to a density-transformation stage 84. In that stage the information is used to form a specifically customized halftoning matrix (or error-diffusion threshold structure) 85, which is then substituted 68 for the standard mask etc. 174 in the rendition stage.

In the more-complicated case of swath-height characteristic data 81 for use in correction, as indicated in the above detailed discussion such data may follow either (1) a path 92 to the same density-transformation stage 85

1 just discussed, or (2) a path 93 to a spatial-resolution
2 modifying stage 86 — or (3) in some cases both.

3 In the case of the path 93 to the spatial-resolution
4 stage 86, the swath-height characterizing data 81 are ap-
5 plied in forming a modified structure 87 of data scaling.
6 This structure 87 can be applied 172, 171 in lieu of stan-
7 dard scaling 173, 173' in either prerendition or postren-
8 dition scaling 77, 77'.

9
10
11
12 The above disclosure is intended as merely exemplary,
13 and not to limit the scope of the invention — which is to
14 be determined by reference to the appended claims.